arXiv:1402.5946v1 [math.AG] 24 Feb 2014

Conical resolutions and the cohomology of the moduli spaces of nodal hypersurfaces

A. G. Gorinov

Abstract

We present a modification of the method of conical resolutions [2, 3]. We apply our construction to compute the rational cohomology of the spaces of equations of nodal cubics in $\mathbb{C}P^2$, nodal quartics in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and nodal cubics in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. In the last two cases we also compute the cohomology of the corresponding moduli spaces.

1 Introduction

In many situations, geometric objects come parametrized by the elements of an affine space V over a field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$; the elements of V can usually be divided into "generic" and "singular" (what exactly we call "singular" depends on the particular example we are considering). The subset Σ of V formed by the singular elements is called a (generalized) *discriminant*. To give an example, one can take the space of polynomials of some fixed degree over $\mathbf{k}$ as V, and the subset of V formed by the polynomials having a multiple root in $\mathbf{k}$ as Σ. Notice that in this example Σ is the zero locus of a polynomial in the coefficients of $f \in V$; this polynomial is called the discriminant, which maybe justifies the use of the term "discriminant" in a more general situation. More examples will be considered below.

Due to the Alexander duality

$$H^*(V \setminus \Sigma) \cong \bar{H}_{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V - * - 1}(\Sigma),$$

computing (additively) the cohomology of $V \setminus \Sigma$ is equivalent to computing the Borel-Moore homology groups of Σ. (Recall that the Borel-Moore homology groups $\bar{H}_*(X)$ of a locally compact topological space X can be defined either as the homology of the one-point compactification of X modulo the "infinity" or as the homology groups of the complex of locally finite singular chains; the latter definition generalizes to arbitrary local systems in an obvious way.)

If one is interested in the Borel-Moore homology groups, one can often replace Σ with a much more tractable space σ called a *resolution* of Σ. The resolution σ comes with a natural filtration such that the difference of two consecutive terms is a fiber bundle over a "nice" space. In some examples this reduces the computation of $\bar{H}_*(X)$ to (possibly lengthy) but elementary manipulations. In the case when the elements of Σ can have only discrete singular loci, such resolutions are called *simplicial*; they were first constructed by V. A. Vassiliev in [4], although one can trace the idea (as well as in fact, a large part of homological algebra) all the way back to Euler's "inclusion-exclusion" formula).

Conical resolutions were introduced by V. A. Vassiliev in [5] as a generalization of simplicial resolutions for the case of non-discrete singular sets (see also [6, chapter 7]). In [7]

V. A. Vassiliev applied conical resolutions to compute the rational cohomology of the spaces of nonsingular degree d hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ for $(d,n)=(3,2),(4,2)$ and $(3,3)$. In order to overcome some difficulties involved in extending the computations performed in [7] to other examples, the author gave in [2] a modified construction of conical resolutions based on the inclusion relations between the singular loci, rather than between the corresponding linear systems. This modified construction was presented from the viewpoint of cubical schemes by O. Tommasi [3]. The approach of O. Tommasi enables one to recover the mixed Hodge structures on the Borel-Moore homology groups of the discriminant.

In this paper we generalize the constructions of [2] and [3]. The point of generalizing can be explained as follows. Natural filtrations on the conical resolutions of [2, 3] typically contain a lot of terms corresponding to "fake" singular loci. This does not present a problem in [2, 3], since a vast majority of these terms makes no contribution (over $\mathbb{Q}$) to the spectral sequence corresponding to the filtration. However, if we consider other examples (and in particular, the spaces of nodal hypersurfaces we shall be interested in in this paper), the situation turns out to be different. While it is still true that most columns of term E^∞ of the spectral sequence are zero, many of the corresponding columns of E^1 are not (even rationally). We address the problem by putting together some parasitic terms of the filtration that are destined to kill each other in the end. This procedure may be seen as an adaptation of V. A. Vassiliev's construction of reduced conical resolutions [7, section 3.2] to the context of [2].

We apply our method to compute the rational cohomology (together with the mixed Hodge structures) of the spaces of equations of nodal cubics in $\mathbb{C}P^n, n=2,3$, and of the space of nodal quartics in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Mixed Hodge structures can be encoded as follows. For a complex algebraic variety X, we define the *mixed Hodge polynomial* of X to be

$$P_{\mathrm{mH}}(X)=\sum_{n,p,q} t^n u^p v^q \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Gr}_F^p \mathrm{Gr}_{p+q}^W (H^n(X,\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{C}).$$

By setting in this expression $v=u$, respectively, $u=v=1$, we get the Poincaré-Serre polynomial, respectively, the Poincaré polynomial, of V.

We denote by $\Pi_{d,n}$ the space of homogeneous degree d complex polynomials in $n+1$ variables, and we set $\mathcal{N}_{d,n}$ to be the subvariety of $\Pi_{d,n}$ formed by all f such that the kernel of the Hessian matrix of f at a nonzero point x contains a 2-plane $L\ni \mathrm{x}$ (or, equivalently, the hypersurface defined by f has a singularity other than a simple node).

Theorem 1. *We have*

$$P_{\mathrm{mH}}(\Pi_{3,2}\setminus\mathcal{N}_{3,2})=1+t^3u^{-2}v^{-2}+t^5u^{-3}v^{-3}+t^8u^{-5}v^{-5}+t^{10}u^{-6}v^{-6}+t^{11}u^{-7}v^{-7}.$$

Theorem 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mathrm{mH}}(\Pi_{4,2}\setminus\mathcal{N}_{4,2})=1+t^3u^{-2}v^{-2}+t^5u^{-3}v^{-3}+t^7u^{-4}v^{-4}+t^8u^{-5}v^{-5}+t^{10}u^{-6}v^{-6}\\ +t^{12}u^{-7}v^{-7}+t^{13}u^{-8}v^{-8}+t^{14}u^{-8}v^{-8}+t^{15}u^{-9}v^{-9}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$P_{\mathrm{mH}}(\Pi_{3,3}\setminus\mathcal{N}_{3,3})=.$$

We use theorems 2 and 3 to compute the mixed Hodge polynomials of the corresponding moduli spaces.

Theorem 4. *We have*

$$P_{\mathrm{mH}}((\Pi_{4,2} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{4,2})/\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})) = 1 + t^2u^{-1}v^{-1} + t^4u^{-2}v^{-2} + t^6u^{-3}v^{-3}.$$

Theorem 5. *We have*

$$P_{\mathrm{mH}}((\Pi_{3,3} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{3,3})/\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})) = 1 + t^2u^{-1}v^{-1} + t^4u^{-2}v^{-2} + t^6u^{-3}v^{-3}.$$

Let us note that the moduli space $(\Pi_{3,3} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{3,3})/\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ of nodal cubic surfaces was studied in [1] from the viewpoint of complex hyperbolic geometry; in particular, it was shown there that this space is the quotient of the complex hyperbolic 4-space by a certain discrete group Γ acting with finite stabilizers. This means that $(\Pi_{3,3} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{3,3})/\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ is a "rational $K(\Gamma, 1)$", i.e., the rational cohomology of Γ is canonically isomorphic to the rational cohomology of $(\Pi_{3,3} \setminus \mathcal{N}_{3,3})/\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we construct conical resolutions of discriminants. In section 3 we show how the method of section 2 applies to the equation spaces of nodal hypersurfaces and prove theorem 1. The proofs of theorems 2 and 4 are given in section 4. Finally, in section 5 we prove theorems 3 and 5.

In the following table we summarize some of the notation used in the paper.

S_k	the symmetric group on k letters
$\mathbb{Q}(n)$	the Tate mixed Hodge structure on $\mathbb{Q}$ (the weight filtration on $\mathbb{Q}$ is given by $W_{-2n-1} = 0, W_{-2n} = \mathbb{Q}$, and the Hodge filtration on $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ is given by $F^{-n} = \mathbb{C}, F^{-n+1} = 0$)
$\bar{H}_*(X, \mathcal{L})$	the Borel-Moore homology groups of a locally compact space X with coefficients in a local system $\mathcal{L}$
$\#K$	the cardinality of a finite set K
$\Pi_{d,n}$	the space of complex homogeneous polynomials of degree d in $n+1$ variables
$\mathcal{N}_{d,n}$	the subvariety of $\Pi_{d,n}$ formed by all f such that the kernel of the Hessian matrix of f at a nonzero point x contains a 2-plane $L \ni$ x
$\mathbb{C}P^{n\vee}$	the space of all lines in $\mathbb{C}P^n$
$B(X, k)$	the space of unordered configurations of k (distinct) points in X
$F(X, k)$	the space of ordered configurations of k (distinct) points in X
$c(\xi)$	the total Chern class of a vector bundle ξ
ξ_x	the fiber of a vector bundle ξ over x
$\mathrm{tot}(\xi)$	the total space of a vector bundle ξ
$\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$	the vector bundle on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with total space $\{(f, x) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times \mathbb{C}P^n \mid f(\mathrm{x}) = 0$ for any preimage x $\in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus 0$ of $x\}$.
$\Lambda(K)$	see p. 7
$\tilde{\Lambda}(K)$	see p. 7
$\partial\Lambda(K)$	see p. 8
$\tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K)$	see p. 8

2 Conical resolutions

2.1 A topological construction

Let us now recall the general setting of [2, section 2]. We start with a finite-dimensional vector space V over $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ and we assume that $\Sigma \subset V$ is a closed subset. (In all applications Σ is an affine subvariety of V.) Suppose that we are interested in computing the Borel-Moore homology groups of Σ. Set $D = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} V$. Assume that to any $f \in \Sigma$ we have associated a nonempty compact subset $\mathrm{Sing}(f)$ of a finite CW-complex $\mathbf{M}$ in such a way that the following conditions are satisfied.

Condition list 1.

- *If $f, g \in \Sigma$, and $\mathrm{Sing}(f) \cap \mathrm{Sing}(g) \neq \varnothing$, then $f + g \in \Sigma$ and $\mathrm{Sing}(f) \cap \mathrm{Sing}(g) \subset \mathrm{Sing}(f + g)$.*
- *If $f \in \Sigma$, then for any $\lambda \neq 0$ we have $\mathrm{Sing}(\lambda f) = \mathrm{Sing}(f)$.*
- *The zero element $0 \in \Sigma$, and $\mathrm{Sing}(0) = M$.*
- *For any $K \subset \mathbf{M}$ set $L(K) \subset V$ to be the subset consisting of all f such that $K \subset \mathrm{Sing}(f)$. The previous three conditions imply that $L(K)$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space. We assume that there exists a positive integer $\mathbf{d}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbf{M}$ one can find a neighborhood $U \ni x$ in $\mathbf{M}$ and continuous functions $l_1, \ldots, l_{\mathbf{d}}$ from U to the Grassmannian $G_{D-1}(V)$ of $(D-1)$-dimensional $\mathbf{k}$-vector subspaces of V such that we have*

$$L(\{x'\}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\mathbf{d}} l_i(x')$$

for any $x' \in U$.

In all applications $\mathbf{M}$ and all $\mathrm{Sing}(f)$ can be chosen to be projective varieties. We shall call $\mathrm{Sing}(f)$ the *(generalized) singular locus* of f.

Assume that the topology on $\mathbf{M}$ is induced by a metric ρ. We shall call compact subsets of $\mathbf{M}$ *configurations*; define $2^{\mathbf{M}}$ to be the set of all configurations. We equip $2^{\mathbf{M}}$ with the *Hausdorff metric* given by

$$\tilde{\rho}(K, L) = \max_{x \in K} \rho(x, L) + \max_{x \in L} \rho(x, K).$$

The basic properties of this metric can be summarized as follows:

Proposition 1.

1. *The metric space $(2^{\mathbf{M}}, \tilde{\rho})$ is compact.*
2. *Let (K_i) be a Cauchy sequence in $(2^{\mathbf{M}}, \tilde{\rho})$. Then $x \in \lim_{i \to \infty} K_i$, if and only if there exists a sequence (x_i) that converges to x and such that $x_i \in K_i$.*
3. *Let (K_i^1) and (K_i^2) be two converging sequences in $(2^{\mathbf{M}}, \tilde{\rho})$. Set $K^j = \lim_{i \to \infty} K_i^j, j = 1, 2$. If $K_i^1 \subset K_i^2$ for any i, then $K^1 \subset K^2$.*

♣

By a *configuration space* we shall mean an arbitrary subspace of $2^{\mathbf{M}}$.

Definition. Set

$$B(\mathbf{M}, k) = \{K \in 2^{\mathbf{M}} \mid \#K = k\}.$$

Definition. Denote by $\pm\mathbb{Q}$ the alternating local system on $B(\mathbf{M},k)$, i.e., the local system with fiber $\mathbb{Q}$ corresponding to the alternating representation of $\pi_1(B(\mathbf{M},k))$:

$$\pi_1(B(\mathbf{M},k)) \to S_k \to \{\pm 1\}.$$

Notice that we have $\overline{B(\mathbf{M},k)} = \bigcup_{j\le k} B(\mathbf{M},j)$. If $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{C}P^n$, then this statement can be generalized as follows:

Proposition 2. *Denote by $\mathcal{B}_{k,l}$ the subspace of $2^{\mathbb{C}P^n}$ consisting of all (maybe reducible) subvarieties of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ of pure dimension k and degree l. We have*

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{k,l} = \bigcup_{j\le l} \mathcal{B}_{k,j}.$$

♣

A conical resolution of Σ will constructed below from an (ordered) family $X_1,\dots,X_N$ of configuration spaces that satisfy the conditions we now formulate.

Condition list 2. *1. For every $f\in\Sigma$ the singular locus $\mathrm{Sing}(f)$ belongs to some X_i.*

2. If $K\in X_i, L\in X_j, K\subsetneq L$, then $i<j$.

3. Recall that $L(K)$ was defined to be the $\mathbf{k}$-vector space formed by all $f\in V$ such that $K\subset\mathrm{Sing}(f)$. We require that for any fixed i, the $\mathbf{k}$-dimension of $L(K)$ is the same for all configurations $K\in X_i$; this dimension will be denoted by d_i.

4. $X_i\cap X_j=\varnothing$ if $i\ne j$.

5. We shall say that a configuration K' implies a configuration K'', if $K'\subset K''$ and $L(K')=L(K'')$. Let K be a configuration from $\bar{X}_i\setminus X_i$. We require that K should imply a configuration from $\bigcup_{j<i}X_j$. Set i_0 to be the maximal $j\in\{1,\dots,i-1\}$ such that K implies a configuration from X_j; we require moreover that the that configuration from X_{i_0} that K implies should be unique. Such a configuration will be called the geometrization of K and denoted by $\mathrm{Geom}(K)$.

6. Set

$$\mathcal{T}_i = \{(x,K)\in\mathbf{M}\times X_i \mid x\in K\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_i = \{(K',K)\in A_{i-1}\times X_i \mid K'\subset K\}.$$

We require the spaces $\mathcal{T}_i$ and $\mathcal{S}_i$ to be fibered over X_i with obvious projections. Moreover, the fiber bundle $\mathcal{S}_i\to X_i$ should admit a local trivialization induced by a local trivialization of $\mathcal{T}_i\to X_i$.

7. If K is a finite configuration from X_i, then every (nonempty) subset $K'\subset K$ is contained in some X_j with $j<i$.

5-. The same as condition 5, except that we require for $K\in\bar{X}_i\setminus X_i$ to imply a configuration from $\bigcup_{j\le i}X_j$ (and not necessarily from $\bigcup_{j<i}X_j$); the definition of i_0 is changed accordingly.

5+. For any i we have $\bar{X}_i\subset\bigcup_{j\le i}X_j$.

Notice that condition 5− is weaker (i.e., less restrictive) than 5, which is in turn weaker than 5+.

Before going further, let us consider a simple example.

Example. Suppose $V = \Pi_{3,2}$, and σ is formed by all f that define singular cubic curves. We set $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{C}P^2$ and define $\mathrm{Sing}(f), f \in \sigma, f \neq 0$ to be the singular locus of the curve corresponding to f, and we set $\mathrm{Sing}(0) = \mathbb{C}P^2$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_5$ be the following subspaces of $2^{\mathbb{C}P^2}$.

- $X_1 = B(\mathbb{C}P^2, 1)$;
- $X_2 = B(\mathbb{C}P^2, 2)$;
- $X_3 = \{l \mid l \text{ a line in } \mathbb{C}P^2\}$;
- X_4 is formed by all $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ such that x_1, x_2 and x_3 are not on a line;
- X_5 contains just one element, the whole $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

These configuration spaces satisfy conditions 1-7 from the above list, but not condition 5+. For any $K \in \bar{X}_i \setminus X_i, i = 1, \ldots, 5$ we have $\mathrm{Geom}(K) = K$, except when $i = 4$ and K consists of three points on a line l, in which case $\mathrm{Geom}(K) = l$. If we set $X'_1 = X_1, X'_2 = X_2, X'_3 = \{K \mid K = \text{three points on a line}\}$ and $X'_i = X_{i-1}$ for $i = 4, 5, 6$, we shall obtain a system of configuration spaces that satisfy conditions 1-4 and 5+.

Proposition 3. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $l \geq 1$ be integers. There exists a system $Z_1, \ldots, Z_R$ of configuration spaces that satisfies conditions 2, 4 and 5+ from list 2 and such that*

$$\bigcup_{i \leq R} Z_i = \bigcup_{s=1}^{k+1} \bigcup_{0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq k} \left\{ K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_s \mid K_r \in \bigcup_{j \leq l} \mathcal{B}_{i_r, j}, r = 1, \ldots, s \right\}.$$

(Recall that the spaces $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}$ were defined in proposition 2.)

Proof. We proceed by induction on k. The case $k = 0$ is obvious. Suppose the proposition is proved for some $k = k_0$ and l, and let $Z_1, \ldots Z_R$ be the resulting system of configuration spaces. Assume moreover that any for any $K \in Z_i$, if a proper subvariety $X \subset K$ is the union of some irreducible components of K, then $X \in Z_j$ with $j < i$. Then the required system of configuration spaces for $k = k_0 + 1$ and l can be constructed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1, \ldots, Z_R, \mathcal{B}_{k_0+1,1}, \{K_1 \cup K_2 \mid K_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0+1,1}, K_2 \in Z_1, K_2 = \overline{K_2 \setminus K_1}\}, \\ \{K_1 \cup K_2 \mid K_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0+1,1}, K_2 \in Z_2, K_2 = \overline{K_2 \setminus K_1}\}, \ldots, \\ \ldots, \{K_1 \cup K_2 \mid K_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0+1,1}, K_2 \in Z_R, K_2 = \overline{K_2 \setminus K_1}\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{k_0+1,2}, \{K_1 \cup K_2 \mid K_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0+1,2}, K_2 \in Z_1, K_2 = \overline{K_2 \setminus K_1}\}, \ldots, \\ \ldots, \{K_1 \cup K_2 \mid K_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0+1,l}, K_2 \in Z_1, K_2 = \overline{K_2 \setminus K_1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for any K belonging to any one of these configuration spaces, any proper subvariety $X \subset K$ which is the union of some irreducible components of K belongs to a previous configuration space.♣

Lemma 1. *Assume that* $\mathbf{M}$ *is a complex projective variety. Let* $X_1, \dots, X_N$ *be a system of configuration spaces that satisfy conditions 1-4 and 5− from list 2. that* $\bigcup_{j\le N} X_j$ *is contained in the union of a finite number of the spaces* $\mathcal{B}_{k,l}$ *(defined in proposition 2). Then there exist a positive integer* $\mathcal{N}$*, a strictly increasing mapping* $\alpha : \{1, \dots, N\} \to \{1, \dots, \mathcal{N}\}$ *and a system* $Y_1, \dots, Y_{\mathcal{N}}$ *of configuration spaces such that*

- *For any* $i = 1, \dots, N$ *we have* $Y_{\alpha(i)} = X_i$*;*
- $\bigcup_{j\le\mathcal{N}} Y_j = \bigcup_{j\le N} \bar{X}_i$*;*
- *If* $\alpha(i-1) < j < \alpha(i)$*, then the geometrization of any* $K \in Y_j$ *belongs to* X_i*;*
- *The spaces* $Y_1, \dots, Y_{\mathcal{N}}$ *satisfy conditions 1-4 and 5+ from list 2.*

Proof. Set

$$A_i = \left\{ K \in \bigcup_{j\le N} \bar{X}_j \mid K \subset K' \text{ for some } K' \in \bigcup_{j\le i} X_j \right\}.$$

Notice that due to condition 5−, a configuration $K \in \bigcup_{j\le N} \bar{X}_i$ is a subset of some $K' \in \bigcup_{j\le i} \bar{X}_j$, if and only if it is a subset of some $K'' \in \bigcup_{j\le i} X_j$. Hence, any A_i is closed. Any configuration space $A_i \setminus A_{i-1}$ can be stratified by its intersections with the spaces $Z_1, \dots, Z_R$ obtained by applying proposition 3 to sufficiently large k and l; a required system of Y_j's can be constructed by "inserting" for any $i = 1, \dots, N$ the resulting stratification of $A_i \setminus A_{i-1}$ between X_i and X_{i-1}.♣

Let us now recall the notion of the *k-th self-join* of a topological space. This notion was introduced by V. A. Vassiliev in [7] and will come out useful on several occasions in the sequel.

Definition. Let X be a topological space. Given a positive integer k, we shall say that a proper embedding $\imath : X \to \mathbb{R}^\Omega, \Omega < \infty$, is *k-generic*, if the intersection of any two $(k-1)$-simplices with vertices on $\imath(X)$ is their common face (in particular, the intersection is empty, if the sets of the vertices are disjoint). We set the k-th self-join X^{*k} of X to be the union of all $(k-1)$-simplices with vertices on the image $\imath(X)$ of any k-generic embedding $\imath$ (assuming such an embedding exists, of course).

For good spaces (say, for finite CW-complexes) the topology on X^{*k} does not depend on the choice of $\imath$.

Let now $\mathcal{N}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{\mathcal{N}}$ and α be respectively the integer, the system of configuration spaces and the mapping $\{1, \dots, N\} \to \{1, \dots \mathcal{N}\}$ constructed in lemma 1 from a system $X_1, \dots, X_N$ of configuration spaces satisfying conditions 1-4 and 5− from list 2.

Consider the space $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \bar{Y}_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} Y_i$. In the sequel, we will not distinguish between the elements of Y (which are configurations, i.e., compact subsets of $\mathbf{M}$) and vertices of simplices of the autojoin $Y^{*\mathcal{N}}$ (which are the images of the elements of Y under some embedding $Y \to \mathbb{R}^\Omega$). We will call a simplex $\triangle \subset Y^{*\mathcal{N}}$ *coherent* if its vertices form a chain, i.e., one of any two of them contains the other. Obviously, among the vertices of a coherent simplex $\triangle$ there is a configuration that contains all the other; such a configuration will be called the *main vertex* of $\triangle$. Denote by Λ the union of all coherent simplices. For any $K \in Y_j$ denote by $\tilde{\Lambda}(K)$ the union of all coherent simplices with main vertex K. Notice that the space $\tilde{\Lambda}(K)$ is contractible. For any $K \in X_i$ denote by $\Lambda(K)$ the union of all coherent

simplices $\triangle$ such that 1. the main vertex of $\triangle$ is K, and 2. the for any vertex $K' \neq K$ of $\triangle$ we have $\mathrm{Geom}(K') \neq K$ (and hence, $\mathrm{Geom}(K') \in \bigcup_{j<i} X_j$).

For any $K \in Y_{\alpha(i)}$ set

$$\partial\Lambda(K) = \bigcup_{j<i} \bigcup_{\substack{K' \in Y_{\alpha(j)} \\ K' \subset K}} \tilde{\Lambda}(K'),$$

$$\tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K) = \bigcup_{j<\alpha(i)} \bigcup_{\substack{K' \in Y_j \\ K' \subset K}} \tilde{\Lambda}(K').$$

Notice that the notation we use here differs from the one used in [2]: we denote by $\tilde{\Lambda}(K)$ and $\tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K)$ what was denoted there respectively by $\Lambda(K)$ and $\partial\Lambda(K)$. The reason for this change is that we shall more frequently work with spaces $\Lambda(K)$ and $\partial\Lambda(K)$ defined above.

For any $K \in X_i$, we have $\partial\Lambda(K) \subset \Lambda(K)$, and $\Lambda(K)$ can be naturally identified with the cone over $\partial\Lambda(K)$.

Denote the union $\bigcup_{j\leq\alpha(i)} \bigcup_{K\in Y_j} \tilde{\Lambda}(K)$ by Φ_i. Due to the third property of the Y_j's (see lemma 1), we have $\Phi_i = \bigcup_{j\leq i} \bigcup_{K\in Y_{\alpha(j)}} \tilde{\Lambda}(K)$. There is a filtration on Λ: $\varnothing \subset \Phi_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Phi_{\mathcal{N}} = \Lambda$. Using condition 5$-$ (satisfied by the X_i') and condition 5+ (satisfied by the Y_i'), it is easy to show that all Φ_i's, $\Lambda(K)$'s, $\tilde{\Lambda}(K)$'s, $\partial\Lambda(K)$'s and $\tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K)$'s are closed subspaces of Λ (cf. [2, proposition 2.7]).

For any simplex $\triangle \subset Y^{*\mathcal{N}}$ denote by $\overset{\circ}{\triangle}$ its interior, i.e., the union of its points that do not belong to the faces of lower dimension. Note that for every $x \in Y^{*\mathcal{N}}$ there exists a unique simplex $\triangle$ such that $x \in \overset{\circ}{\triangle}$.

Define the conical resolution σ as the subspace of $\Sigma \times \Lambda$ consisting of pairs (f, x) such that $f \in \Sigma, x \in \tilde{\Lambda}(\mathrm{Sing}(f))$. There exist obvious projections $\pi : \sigma \to \Sigma$ and $p : \sigma \to \Lambda$. We introduce a filtration on σ setting $F_i = p^{-1}(\Phi_i)$.

Each point $x \in \Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$ belongs to the interior of some coherent simplex $\triangle$; let K be the main vertex of $\triangle$. The geometrization of K belongs to $Y_{\alpha(i)} = X_i$; denote the mapping $\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1} \ni x \mapsto \mathrm{Geom}(K) \in Y_{\alpha(i)} = X_i$ by f_i, and let $g_i(x)$ be the $\mathbf{k}$-vector space $L(f_i(K))$.

Set

$$\Psi_i = (\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}) \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{K\in X_i} \Lambda(K) \right).$$

Proposition 4. *If $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ satisfy condition 5 from list 2 (in addition to 1-5$-$), then*

1. *the mapping f_i is continuous;*
2. *Ψ_i is a closed subspace of $\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$, and the inclusion $\Psi_i \subset (\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1})$ induces an isomorphism of the Borel-Moore homology groups.*

Proof. Let (x_j) be a converging sequence in $\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$, and set $x = \lim_{j\to\infty} x_j$. Denote by $\triangle_j$, respectively, by $\triangle$, the coherent simplex such that $x_j \in \overset{\circ}{\triangle}_j$, respectively, such that $x \in \overset{\circ}{\triangle}$n (cf. [2, proposition 2.6]). Let K be the main vertex of $\triangle$. There exists a sequence (K_j) of configurations such that every K_j is a vertex of $\triangle_j$, and $\lim_{j\to\infty} K_j = K$. Since $x \in \Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$, we have $K \in Y_{\alpha(i)} \setminus Y_{\alpha(i-1)}$, and hence we can assume (eliminating a finite number of j's if necessary) that any $K_j \in Y_{\alpha(i)} \setminus Y_{\alpha(i-1)}$. Due to condition 5, $f_i(x_j)$, which is the geometrization of the main vertex of $\triangle_i$, coincides with $\mathrm{Geom}(K_j)$.

Let K' be the limit (in Φ_i) of a converging subsequence of $(\mathrm{Geom}(K_j))$. Clearly, $K' \in X_i$ (otherwise, due to condition 5, K' would be a subset of some $K'' \in \bigcup_{k<i} X_k$, and x would belong to Φ_{i-1}). Moreover, $K' \supset K$, and hence, $\mathrm{Geom}(K) = K'$ (due to the uniqueness of the geometrization of K). We have shown that any subsequence of $(\mathrm{Geom}(K_j))$ that converges in Φ_i converges in fact to $\mathrm{Geom}(K)$, which implies that $\lim_{j\to\infty} f_i(x_j) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \mathrm{Geom}(K_j) = \mathrm{Geom}(K) = f(x)$. This proves the first assertion of the proposition.

It can be shown in an analogous way that Ψ_i is closed in $\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$. Finally, for any $K \in X_i$, the space $f_i^{-1}(K) \cap ((\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}) \setminus \Psi_i)$ can be described as

$$\left(\bigcup_{x\in\tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K)\setminus\partial\Lambda(K)} \text{the segment joining } x \text{ and } K \right) \setminus \{K\}.$$

Hence, $\bar{H}_*((\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}) \setminus \Psi_i, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, which completes the proof of the proposition.♣

Theorem 6. *If the configuration spaces $X_1, \dots, X_N$ satisfy conditions 1-4 and 5– from list 2, then the following holds.*

1. *The mapping π is proper and induces an isomorphism of Borel-Moore homology groups of the spaces σ and Σ.*
2. *The mapping g_i is continuous, and every triple $(F_i \setminus F_{i-1}, \Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}, p|_{F_i\setminus F_{i-1}})$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-vector bundle of rank d_i isomorphic to the pullback of the tautological vector bundle over the Grassmannian $G_{d_i}(V)$ under g_i.*
3. *Suppose that $X_1, \dots, X_N$ satisfy conditions 5 and 6 from list 2 (beside conditions 1-4 and 5–). Then for any i the spaces $\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$ and Ψ_i are fibered over X_i with projection f_i and generic fibers $\tilde{\Lambda}(K) \setminus \tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K), K \in X_i$ and $\Lambda(K) \setminus \partial\Lambda(K), K \in X_i$ respectively.*
4. *Suppose that $X_1, \dots, X_N$ satisfy conditions 5, 6 and 7 from list 2 (beside conditions 1-4 and 5–). Let i be an index such that X_i consists of finite configurations, and set $k = \#K, K \in X_i$. Then for any $K \in X_i = Y_{\alpha(i)}$ we have $\tilde{\Lambda}(K) = \Lambda(K), \tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K) = \partial\Lambda(K)$ and there exists a mapping $(\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}) \to \mathbf{M}^{*k}$ that takes every $K \in \Lambda(K) \setminus \partial\Lambda(K) \subset \Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$ to some element of the interior of the simplex $\triangle(K)$ spanned by the points of K. This mapping is a homeomorphism onto its image, and it takes $\Lambda(K)$ (respectively, $\partial\Lambda(K)$) homeomorphically on $\triangle(K)$ (respectively, $\partial\triangle(K)$).*

Proof. We repeat with minor modifications the proof of [2, theorem 2.8]. In fact, the proof of the first and the third assertions requires no changes at all. Let us prove that g_i is continuous for any $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Let $(x_j), x, \triangle_j, \triangle, K$ and (K_j) be the same as in the proof of proposition 4. We have

$$g_i(x_j) = L(f_i(x_j)) = L(\mathrm{Geom}(K_j)) = L(K_j),$$

$$g_i(x) = L(f_i(x)) = L(\mathrm{Geom}(K)) = L(K).$$

Since $\lim_{j\to\infty} K_j = K$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} L(K_j) = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} L(K)$, we obtain (using the last condition from the list 1) that $\lim_{j\to\infty} L(K_j) = L(K)$.

This shows that all mappings g_i are continuous. The second assertion of the theorem can be now obtained from the fact that for any $x \in \Phi_i \setminus \Phi_{i-1}$ we have $p^{-1}(x) = g_i(x)$ (which follows immediately from the definitions, cf. [2, proof of theorem 2.8]).

The last assertion of the theorem is easy to check directly.♣

2.2 Computing the mixed Hodge structures

Let us now see how O. Tommasi's approach [3] to conical resolutions can be used to determine the mixed Hodge structures on $\bar{H}_*(\Sigma)$, provided, of course, $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$ and Σ is an affine subvariety of V.

Let $(e^r_{p,q}, d_r)$ be a spectral sequence of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces converging to a graded $\mathbb{Q}$ vector space A_*. We shall write MHS for "mixed Hodge structure". Suppose that A_* and all $e^r_{p,q}$ are equipped with MHS's. We shall say that these MHS's are *compatible*, if the MHS's on $e^r_{p,q}$ are strictly compatible with the differentials and the MHS on e^∞ is induced by the MHS on A_*. The following lemma can be easily obtained by adapting the argument of [3].

Lemma 2. *Suppose that the configuration spaces $X_1, \dots, X_N$ satisfy conditions 1-5 and 6 from list 2. Assume moreover that*

- *for any i, the configuration space X_i is a complex algebraic variety, and $\{(f, K) \in V \times X_i \mid \mathrm{Sing}(f) \supset K\}$ is a closed subvariety of $V \times X_i$;*
- *for any $j < i$ the space $\{(K_1, K_2) \in X_j \times X_i \mid K_1 \subset K_2\}$ is a (closed) subvariety of $X_j \times X_i$.*

Then the following holds.

1. *Take a configuration $K \in \bigcup_{i=1,\dots,N} X_i$, and let $j < i$ be indices. Then the rational Borel-Moore homology groups of the spaces*

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial\Lambda(K) \cap (\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_j), \\ &\tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K) \cap (\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_j), \\ &\Lambda(K) \setminus \cap(\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_j), \\ &\tilde{\Lambda}(K) \cap (\Phi_i \setminus \Phi_j) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

   carry MHS's.

2. *Let A be any of the above spaces (1), and let $(e^r_{p,q})$ be the Borel-Moore homology spectral sequence that corresponds to the filtration on A induced by $\varnothing \subset \Phi_1 \subset \dots \subset \Phi_N$ (or a coarser one). Then $(e^r_{p,q})$ can be equipped with a MHS compatible with the MHS on $\bar{H}_*(A, \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, the MHS on e^1 coincides with the one "formally" constructed using the previous assertion of the lemma.*

3. *Assertions 1 and 2 remain true, if in their formulation all spaces are replaced by their respective preimages under p.*

4. *Let $k < l \leq i$ be indices, and let X be a subvariety of X_i. Suppose that any*

$$x \in Y = \left(\bigcup_{K \in X} \Lambda(K) \right) \cap (\Phi_l \setminus \Phi_k)$$

   belongs to a unique coherent simplex with main vertex in X_i; denote this vertex by $f(x)$. Suppose moreover that the triple (Y, X, f) is a fiber bundle, and let $(e^r_{p,q})$ be the corresponding Leray spectral sequence converging to $\bar{H}_*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$. Then $\bar{H}_*(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ and $(e^r_{p,q})$ carry compatible MHS's; the MHS on e^2 is constructed from the MHS on the Borel-Moore homology of the fiber $\Lambda(K) \cap (\Phi_l \setminus \Phi_k), K \in X$ and (possibly twisted) Borel-Moore homology of base X in the usual way.

♣

3 Nodal hypersurfaces. Preliminaries and a toy example

3.1 Generalities

The method described in the previous section applies immediately when $V = \Pi_{d,n}$ and σ is formed by all f that define singular hypersurfaces (e.g., [7, 2]). Here it is natural to take $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{C}P^n$, and to define $\mathrm{Sing}(f)$ for $f \in \sigma$ as the projectivisation of the set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \mid df|_x = 0\}.$$

However in this paper we will be more interested in the cases when $V = \Pi_{d,n}$, but the discriminant $\sigma = \mathcal{N}_{d,n}$ is formed by all polynomials f such that the hypersurface defined by $f \in \sigma$ has singularities other than simple nodes. In this situation it is natural to set $\mathbf{M}$ to be the flag variety $F(n+1;1,2)$ formed by the couples of the form (a point $x \in \mathbb{C}P^n$, a line through x), and to define $\mathrm{Sing}(f), f \in \sigma$ as the subvariety of $\mathbf{M}$ formed by all (x,l) such that the 2-plane in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ corresponding to l is in the kernel of the Hessian of f at (any) preimage $\mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus 0$ of x (notice that this implies $df|_{\mathrm{x}}$=0).

For $x \in \mathbb{C}P^n$ and $l \in \mathbb{C}P^{n\vee}$ we denote the corresponding vector subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ by $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{l}$ respectively. In the sequel we shall need to know the Chern classes of the vector bundles $\vartheta_{d,n}$ with total spaces

$$\left\{(f,(x,l)) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times F(n+1;1,2) \mid \bar{l} \subset \text{the kernel of the Hessian of } f \text{ at any point of } \bar{x}\right\}.$$

To compute these classes we have to introduce some notation.

Suppose φ', φ'' and φ are (locally trivial) vector subbundles of a (locally trivial) vector bundle over a space A. We shall write $\varphi = \varphi' \cap \varphi''$ if $\varphi'_a \cap \varphi''_a = \varphi_a$ for any $a \in A$. Analogoulsy, we write $\varphi = \varphi' + \varphi''$ if $\varphi'_a + \varphi''_a = \varphi_a$ for any $a \in A$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{d,n}$ be the vector bundle on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with total space

$$\{(f,x) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times \mathbb{C}P^n \mid f(\mathrm{x}) = 0 \text{ for any } \mathrm{x} \in \bar{x}\}.$$

Notice that we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{P}_{d,n} \to \text{the constant vector bundle with fiber } \Pi_{d,n} \to \mathcal{O}(d) \to 0.$$

In the rest of the section, elements of $\mathbb{C}^m$ are denoted by Roman letters and are considered as column vectors, unless stated otherwise. If $\mathrm{x} = (\mathrm{x}_1, \dots, \mathrm{x}_m)^T$ and $\mathrm{y} = (\mathrm{y}_1, \dots, \mathrm{y}_m)^T$ are two vectors, we set $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathrm{x}_i \mathrm{y}_i$.

For a polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathrm{x}} f$ the Hessian of f at a point $\mathrm{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, and we set $f_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathrm{x}_i}$.

Lemma 3. *Let $n \geq 2, d \geq 1$ be integers, and let a_p, respectively, a_l be the pullback of the canonical generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{Z})$, respectively, $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{n\vee}, \mathbb{Z})$ under $(x,l) \mapsto x$, respectively, $(x,l) \mapsto l$. Then*

$$c(\vartheta_{d,n}) = (1 + (d-1)a_p)^{-n-1}(1 + (d-3)a_p + a_l)^{-n-1}(1 + (d-2)a_p + a_l).$$

Proof. Let $p : F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2) \to F(n+1;1,2)$ be the mapping given by

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x, \text{the line spanned by } x \text{ and } y).$$

We have $p^*(\vartheta_{d,n}) = \zeta_1 \cap \zeta_2$ where ζ_1 and ζ_2 are the vector bundles on $F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2)$ with

$$\mathrm{tot}(\zeta_1) = \{(f, (x, y)) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2) \mid df|_{\mathrm{x}} = 0 \text{ for any } \mathrm{x} \in \bar{x}\},$$

$$\mathrm{tot}(\zeta_2) = \{(f, (x, y)) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2) \mid (\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathrm{x}} f)\mathrm{y} = 0 \text{ for any } \mathrm{x} \in \bar{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \bar{y}\}.$$

Let τ and $\tau_i, i = 0, \ldots, n$ be the vector bundles on $F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2)$ with

$$\mathrm{tot}(\tau) = \{(f, (x, y)) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2) \mid (df|_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{y}) = 0 \text{ for any } \mathrm{x} \in \bar{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \bar{y}\},$$

$$\mathrm{tot}(\tau_i) = \{(f, (x, y)) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2) \mid (df_i|_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{y}) = 0 \text{ for any } \mathrm{x} \in \bar{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \bar{y}\}.$$

Finally, set ε to be the constant vector nundle on $F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2)$ with fiber $\Pi_{d,n}$.

It can be easily checked that $\zeta_1 + \zeta_2 = \tau$ (both ζ_1 and ζ_2 are subbundles of τ, the corank of $\zeta_i, i = 1, 2$ in ε is $n + 1$, and the coranks of τ and $\zeta_1 \cap \zeta_2 = p^*(\vartheta_{d,n})$ in ε are $2n + 1$ and 1 respectively). Moreover, we obviously have $\zeta_2 = \bigcap_{i=0}^n \tau_i$. Hence, combining the exact sequence

$$0 \to \zeta_2 \to \varepsilon \to \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \varepsilon/\tau_i \to 0$$

with the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to \zeta_1 \cap \zeta_2 \to \zeta_1 \oplus \zeta_2 \to \tau \to 0,$$

we obtain

$$c(p^*(\vartheta_{d,n})) = \frac{c(\zeta_1) \prod_{i=0}^n c(\tau_i)}{c(\tau)}. \tag{2}$$

Let a_x and a_y be the pullbacks to $H^2(F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2), \mathbb{Q})$ of the canonical generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{Q})$ under $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $(x, y) \mapsto y$ respectively. It is easy to see that

$$p^*(a_p) = a_x, p^*(a_l) = a_x + a_y. \tag{3}$$

The mapping p induces an isomorphism of the rational cohomology. Hence, to compute $c(\vartheta_{d,n})$, it would suffice to express $c(\zeta_1), c(\tau)$ and $c(\tau_i), i = 0, \ldots, n$ in a_x and a_y. We start with $c(\tau)$.

Set

$$L_x = \{(x, y) \in F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2) \mid x \in \{(\mathrm{x}_0 : \mathrm{x}_1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)\}, y = (0 : 0 : 1 : 0 : \cdots : 0)\} \cong \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

Representing $f \in \Pi_{d,n}$ as $f = \sum_{i=0}^d f_i \mathrm{x}_2^i$ with f_i's independent of x_2, we see that $\tau|L_x$ is stably equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_{d-1,1}$.

Analogously, set

$$L_y = \{(x, y) \in F(\mathbb{C}P^n, 2) \mid x = (1 : 0 : \cdots : 0), y \in \{(0 : \mathrm{x}_1 : \mathrm{x}_2 : 0 : \cdots : 0)\}\} \cong \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

Writing $f \in \Pi_{d,n}$ as

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \mathrm{x}_0^{d-1} \mathrm{x}_i + \text{ terms of degree} \leq d - 2 \text{ in } x_0,$$

we see that $\tau|L_y$ is stably equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_{1,1}$.

Since ε/τ is a line bundle, we get $c(\varepsilon/\tau) = 1 + (d-1)a_x + a_y$, and hence,

$$c(\tau) = (1 + (d-1)a_x + a_y)^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

The Chern classes of $\tau_i, i = 0, \ldots, n$, can be computed in an analogous way. Namely, we show as above that $\tau_i|L_x$ is stably equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_{d-2,1}$ and $\tau_i|L_y$ is stably equivalent to $\mathcal{P}_{1,1}$. This implies

$$c(\tau_i) = (1 + (d-2)a_x + a_y)^{-1}, i = 0, \ldots, n. \tag{5}$$

Finally, $\zeta_1 = p_x^*(\zeta_1')$ with

$$\mathrm{tot}(\zeta_1') = \{(f, x) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times \mathbb{C}P^n \mid df|_{\mathrm{x}} = 0 \text{ for any } \mathrm{x} \in \bar{x}\}.$$

Using the exact sequence

$$0 \to \zeta_1' \to \varepsilon' \to \bigoplus \varepsilon'/\alpha_i \to 0$$

with ε the trivial vector bundle with fiber $\Pi_{d,n}$ and α_i the vector bundle with total space

$$\{(f, x) \in \Pi_{d,n} \times \mathbb{C}P^n \mid f_i(\mathrm{x}) = 0 \text{ for any } \mathrm{x} \in \bar{x}\},$$

we obtain

$$c(\zeta_1) = (1 + (d-1)a_x)^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

Substituting (4), (5) and 6 into (2) and taking into account (3), we obtain the required formula for $c(\vartheta_{d,n})$.♣

3.2 Nodal cubic curves in $\mathbb{C}P^2$

Let us see how the method of section 2 applies to the simplest non-trivial example: the cubic curves in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. (The case of conics is even simpler, but not interesting at all, since in this case the most natural conical resolution coinsides with the discriminant itself.)

Consider the vector space $V = \Pi_{3,2}$, and set $\sigma = \mathcal{N}_{3,2}$. We take the flag variety $F(3; 2, 1)$ as $\mathbf{M}$, and we define $\mathrm{Sing}(f), f \in \sigma$ as described in the beginning of the section.

Proposition 5. *The subspaces $X_1, \ldots, X_5$ whose generic elements are described below satisfy conditions 1-7 from list 2, the numbers in square brackets being the d_i's from condition 3.*

1. *A one-element subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *[5].*
2. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* $(x_0, l) \in \mathbf{M}$*, where* x_0 *is a fixed point [4].*
3. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* $(x, l_0) \in \mathbf{M}$*, where* l_0 *is a fixed line [3].*
4. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* (x, l) *such that* $x = x_0$ *or* $l = l_0$*, where* x_0 *and* $l_0 \ni x_0$ *are a fixed point and a fixed line respectively [2].*
5. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* (x, l) *such that* $x \in l_0$ *for some fixed line* l_0 *[1].*
6. *The whole* $\mathbf{M}$ *[0].*

♣

4 Nodal quartics in $\mathbb{C}P^2$

4.1 The space of equations

Here we apply the construction of section 2 to compute the rational cohomology of the space of nodal quartics in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. We set V, respectively Σ, to be $\Pi_{4,2}$, respectively, $\mathcal{N}_{4,2}$. Define $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathrm{Sing}(f), f \in \Sigma$ as described in the previous section, i.e., in particular,

$$\mathbf{M} = F(3;2,1) = \{(x,l) \mid x \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \text{ and } l \subset \mathbb{C}P^2 \text{ is a line through } x\}.$$

Proposition 6. *The subspaces $X_1, \ldots, X_{11} \subset 2^{\mathbf{M}}$ whose generic elements are described below satisfy conditions 1-7 from list 2, the numbers in square brackets being the d_i's from condition 3.*

1. *A one-element subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *[10].*
2. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* $(x_0, l) \in \mathbf{M}$*, where* x_0 *is a fixed point [9].*
3. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* $(x, l_0) \in \mathbf{M}$*, where* l_0 *is a fixed line [6].*
4. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* (x,l) *such that* $x = x_0$ *or* $l = l_0$*, where* x_0 *and* $l_0 \ni x_0$ *are a fixed point and a fixed line respectively [5].*
5. *A two-element subset* $\{(x_1,l_1),(x_2,l_2)\} \subset \mathbf{M}$ *such that* x_1, x_2 *and* $l_1 \cap l_2$ *are three distinct points [5].*
6. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* (x,l) *such that* $x = x_1$ *or* $x = x_2$ *or* $l = l_0$*, where* x_1 *and* x_2 *are fixed points and* $l_0 \ni x_1, x_2$ *is a fixed line [4].*
7. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* (x,l) *such that* $x \in l_0$ *for some fixed line* l_0 *[3].*
8. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* (x,l) *such that* $l = l_1$ *or* $l = l_2$ *or* $x = l_1 \cap l_2$*, where* l_1 *and* l_2 *are fixed lines [1].*
9. *A subset of* $\mathbf{M}$ *formed by all* (x,l) *such that* x *lies on a fixed smooth conic* Q *and* l *is tangent to* Q *at* x*.*
10. *A three-point subset of* $\{(x_1,l_1),(x_2,l_2),(x_3,l_3)\} \subset \mathbf{M}$ *such that* x_1, x_2, x_3 *are not on a line and the intersection* $l_1 \cap l_2 \cap l_3$ *is a point different from either one of the points* x_1, x_2, x_3 *[1].*
11. *The whole* $\mathbf{M}$ *[0].*

Notice that the configurations from X_3 are not contained in the closure of

$$\{K \mid K = \mathrm{Sing}(f) \text{ for some } f \in \Sigma\}.$$

Proof. Conditions 1 and 3 can easily be checked using the following observations.

- The kernel of the Hessian of $f \in \Sigma$ at a point where $df = 0$ is a vector subspace. Hence, if $\mathrm{Sing}(f) \supset \{(x,l_1),(x,l_2)\}$ and $l_1 \neq l_2$, then for any $l \ni x$ we have $(x,l) \in \mathrm{Sing}(f)$[1].

[1]This fact (as opposed to the following two) can obviously be generalized to nodal hypersurfaces of arbitrary dimension and degree.



Figure 1: A hoop inside a barrel: the tricuspidal quartic.

- Suppose $\mathrm{Sing}(f) \supset \{(x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2)\}, x_1 \neq x_2$, and $x_2 \in l_1$. Then for any $x \in l_1$ we have $(x, l_1) \in \mathrm{Sing}(f)$ (in particular, the quartic defined by f contains l_1 as a component of multiplicity ≥ 2.
- There exists exactly one plane quartic with three cusps (modulo projective transformations). One can obtain such a quartic as the (complexification of the) curve traced by a point on a hoop of radius 1 rolling inside a barrel of radius 3 (see figure 1).

Conditions 2-4,6 and 7 are easy to check directly.

In order to be able to verify condition 5, we first have to define $\mathrm{Geom}(K)$ for any $K \in \bar{X}_i \setminus X_i, i = 1, \ldots, 11$. If $K \in (\bar{X}_i \setminus X_i) \cap X_j, j < i$, we set $\mathrm{Geom}(K) = K$. The remaining cases can be considered as follows. Denote by $\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}$ the space of all lines in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Notice that we have the isomorphisms $X_2 \cong \mathbb{C}P^2, X_3 \cong X_7 \cong \mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, X_4 \cong \mathbf{M}, X_8 \cong B(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2)$. Using these identifications, we can define $\mathrm{Geom}(K)$ by the following table.

i such that $K \in \bar{X}_i \setminus X_i$	K	$\mathrm{Geom}(K)$
5	$\{(x, l_1), (x, l_2)\}$ such that $l_1 \neq l_2$	the element of X_2 corresponding to $x \in \mathbb{C}P^2$
	$\{(x_1, l), (x_2, l)\}$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$	the element of X_3 corresponding to $l \in \mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}$
	$\{(x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2)\}$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$, $l_1 \neq l_2, x_2 \in l_1$	the element of X_4 corresponding to $(x_2, l_1) \in \mathbf{M}$
9	$\bigcup_{x \in l_1}\{(x, l_1)\}$ $\cup \bigcup_{x \in l_2}\{(x, l_2)\}$ for some fixed lines $l_1 \neq l_2$	the element of X_8 corresponding to $\{l_1, l_2\} \in B(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2)$
10	$\{(x_1, l), (x_1, l), (x_3, l)\}$ where x_1, x_2 and x_3 are distinct point on a line l	the element of X_3 corresponding to l

	$\{(x_1,l_1),(x_2,l_1),(x_3,l_2)\}$ where $x_1 \neq x_2$ are points on a line l_1, and $x_3 \notin l_1$ is a point on a line $l_2 \neq l_1$	the element of X_8 corresponding to $\{l_1,l_2\} \in B(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee},2)$
	$\{(x,l_1),(x,l_2),(x,l_3)\}$ where l_1,l_2,l_3 are distinct lines through x	the element of X_2 corresponding to $x \in \mathbb{C}P^2$
	$\{(x_1,l_1),(x_1,l_2),(x_2,l_3)\}$ where l_1,l_2,l_3 are distinct lines through x, and $x_2 \neq x_1$ is a point on l_3	the element of X_4 corresponding to $(x_1,l_3) \in \mathbf{M}$
	$\{(x_1,l_1),(x_2,l_2),(x_3,l_3)\}$ where x_1,x_2,x_3 are distinct points on a line l, l_1,l_2,l_3 are distinct lines through a point, and $x_i \in l_i, i=1,2,3$	the element of X_7 corresponding to l
	$\{(x_1,l_1),(x_2,l_2),(x_3,l_3)\}$ where l_1,l_2,l_3 are distinct lines through x_1, and x_i is a point on l_i outside l_1 for $i=2,3$	the element of X_8 corresponding to $\{l_2,l_3\} \in B(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee},2)$

(Here we give Geom(K) only for $K \in \bar{X}_{10} \setminus X_{10}$ that consist of 3 elements; two-element configurations from $\bar{X}_{10} \setminus X_{10}$ can be considered in the same way as elements of $\bar{X}_5 \setminus X_5$.)

The verification of condition 5 is now straightforward ♣.

Now we apply theorem 6 to construct a conical resolution σ of Σ and a filtration

$$\varnothing \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_{11}.$$

Theorem 2 follows immediately from

Theorem 7. *Let $(E^r_{p,q}, d^r_{p,q})$ be the spectral sequence over $\mathbb{Q}$ corresponding to this filtration. Then the groups $(E^1_{p,q})$ are given by table 7. The differetials $d^1_{2,23}, d^1_{2,21}, d^1_{2,19}, d^3_{11,0}, d^3_{11,2}, d^1_{6,12}$ and $d^1_{6,14}$ are non-zero, and $d^3_{6,10} = 0$.*

Proof. We have $\Phi_1 = X_1 = \mathbf{M}$, and F_1 is a complex bundle over Φ_1 of rank 10. Hence the groups $E^1_{1,i} \cong \bar{H}_{1+i}(F_1,\mathbb{Q})$ are as shown on table 7.

For $K \in X_2$ we have

$$\partial\Lambda(K) = \tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K) = \bigcup_{\substack{K' \in X_1, \\ K' \subset K}} \Lambda(K') \cong \mathbb{C}P^1.$$

Hence, the space $\Phi_2 \setminus \Phi_1$ is a fiber bundle over X_2 with fiber homeomorphic to the open cone over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. The space $F_2 \setminus F_1$ is a rank 9 complex vector bundle over $\Phi_2 \setminus \Phi_1$; this

Table 2: Spectral sequence for the space of plane nodal quartics

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
25	$\mathbb{Q}(13)$										
24											
23	$\mathbb{Q}(12) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(12)$	$\mathbb{Q}(12)$									
22											
21	$\mathbb{Q}(11) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(11)$	$\mathbb{Q}(11)$									
20											
19	$\mathbb{Q}(10)$	$\mathbb{Q}(10)$									
18											
17											
16			$\mathbb{Q}(9)$		$\mathbb{Q}(10)$						
15											
14			$\mathbb{Q}(8)$		$\mathbb{Q}(9)$	$\mathbb{Q}(9)$					
13											
12			$\mathbb{Q}(7)$		$\mathbb{Q}(8)$	$\mathbb{Q}(8)$					
11											
10						$\mathbb{Q}(7)$					
9											
8											
7											
6								$\mathbb{Q}(6)$			
5											
4								$\mathbb{Q}(5)$			
3											
2								$\mathbb{Q}(4)$			$\mathbb{Q}(5)$
1											
0											$\mathbb{Q}(4)$

implies the statement of the theorem concerning the groups $E^1_{2,*}$. The groups $E^1_{3,*}$ can be computed in the same way.

For $K \in X_4$ the space $\partial\Lambda(K)$ is equal to $\tilde{\Lambda}(K_1) \cup \tilde{\Lambda}(K_2)$, where K_1 and K_2 are the maximal subconfigurations of K that belong to X_2 and X_3 respectively. Notice that $K_1 \cap K_2$ is a configuration of type 1 and $\tilde{\Lambda}(K_1) \cap \tilde{\Lambda}(K_2) = \Lambda(K_1 \cap K_2)$. Since $\tilde{\Lambda}(K_1), \tilde{\Lambda}(K_2)$ and $\Lambda(K_1 \cap K_2)$ are contractible, all groups $E^1_{4,i}$ are zero.

For $K \in X_5$ we have $\tilde{\partial}\Lambda(K) = \partial\Lambda(K) = \Lambda(K_1) \sqcup \Lambda(K_2)$ with $K_1, K_2 \in X_1$. Hence, $\bar{H}_*(\Phi_5 \setminus \Phi_4, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bar{H}_{*-1}(X_5, \mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the local system on X_5 induced from the alternating system $\pm\mathbb{Q}$ by the inclusion $X_5 \subset B(\mathbf{M}, 2)$. The groups $\bar{H}_*(\Phi_5 \setminus \Phi_4, \mathbb{Q})$ can be obtained from the fact that $\bar{H}_i(B(\mathbb{C}P^2, 2), \pm\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(i/2)$, if $i = 2, 4, 6$ and is zero otherwise [7].

The space $\partial\Lambda(K), K \in X_6$ can be represented as $\partial\Lambda(K) = \tilde{\Lambda}(K_1) \cup \tilde{\Lambda}(K_2) \sqcup A$, where K_1 and K_1 are maximal subconfigurations of K of type 4, and A is the union of $\Lambda(K') \setminus \partial\Lambda(K')$ for all $K' \subset K$ of type 5. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\Lambda}(K_1) \cup \tilde{\Lambda}(K_2)$ has the homology of a point, hence

$$\bar{H}_*(\Lambda(K) \setminus \partial\Lambda(K), \mathbb{Z}) = H_*(\partial\Lambda(K), \text{a point}, \mathbb{Z}) = \bar{H}_{*-1}(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The groups $\bar{H}_*(\Phi_6 \setminus \Phi_5, \mathbb{Q})$ can now be computed in the same way as $\bar{H}_*(\Phi_5 \setminus \Phi_4, \mathbb{Q})$.

For any $K \in X_7$ we have a filtration on $\partial\Lambda(K)$ induced by the intersections with Φ_3, Φ_4 and Φ_6. It is easy to see that the Borel-Moore homology groups of the difference of any two consecutive terms of this filtration vanish, hence $E^1_{7,*} = 0$.

The eighth column of table 7 is obtained in exactly the same way as the sixth one.

If $K \in X_9$, then $\partial\Lambda(K)$ is homeomorphic to the second autojoin of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and hence, has the rational homology groups of a point [7]. This implies that $E^1_{9,i} = 0$ for all i.

Let us now consider the tenth column of table 7. As in the case of column 6, the groups $\bar{H}_*(F_{10} \setminus F_9, \mathbb{Q})$ are isomorphic to shifted groups $\bar{H}_*(X_{10}, \mathcal{L}')$ where $\mathcal{L}'$ is the pullback of the local system $\pm\mathbb{Q}$ on $B(\mathbf{M}, 3)$ under the inclusion $X_{10} \subset B(\mathbf{M}, 3)$. The configuration space X_{10} is fibered over $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Using this fibration and the fact that $\bar{H}(B(\mathbb{C}P^1, 3), \pm\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ [7], it is easy to see that $E^1_{10,*} = 0$.

Finally, to obtain the last column of table 7, we have to compute the rational Borel-Moore homology of the open cone over the space

$$\bigcup_{i \le 10} \bigcup_{K \in X_i} \tilde{\Lambda}(K). \tag{7}$$

This space can be filtered as follows:

$$\Phi_2 \subset \Phi_7 \subset \Phi_8 \subset \Phi_9 \subset \Phi_{10}. \tag{8}$$

In the first term of the spectral sequence corresponding to this filtration, the i-th column, $i = 3, 4, 5$, is equal to the $i + 5$-th column of table 7, with all weights and dimensions shifted down by $2d_{i+5}$. The rational Borel-Moore homology of Φ_2 is clearly isomorphic to $H_*(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{Q})$, and the space $\Phi_7 \setminus \Phi_2$ is fibered over $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with fiber

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(K) \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{K' \in X_2, \\ K' \subset K}} \tilde{\Lambda}(K').$$

Since all $\tilde{\Lambda}$'s are contractible, we have $\bar{H}_i(\Phi_7 \setminus \Phi_2, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(\frac{i-1}{2})$ for $i = 3, 5, 7$ and $\bar{H}_i(\Phi_7 \setminus \Phi_2, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ otherwise, hence only the first three columns of the first term of the spectral sequence corresponding to (8) are nonzero, and they look as follows.

9			$\mathbb{Q}(5)$
8			
7			$\mathbb{Q}(4)$
6			
5		$\mathbb{Q}(3)$	$\mathbb{Q}(3)$
4			
3	$\mathbb{Q}(2)$	$\mathbb{Q}(2)$	
2			
1	$\mathbb{Q}(1)$	$\mathbb{Q}(1)$	
0			
-1	$\mathbb{Q}(0)$		
	1	2	3

(9)

Comparing this with what we already know about the spectral sequence represented on table 7, we see that if any of the potentially nonzero differentials of (9) were zero, then $\Pi_{4,2} \setminus \Sigma$ would have a nonzero rational cohomology group in dimension ≥ 21. This is impossible; indeed, the group $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ acts on $\Pi_{4,2} \setminus \Sigma$ with finite stabilizers, and the (geometric) quotient is a noncomplete variety of dimension 6.

Hence, the nonzero Borel-Moore homology groups of the space (7) are $\mathbb{Q}(0), \mathbb{Q}(4)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(5)$ in dimensions 0, 10 and 12 respectively. We have thus finished computing the groups $E^1_{*,*}$.

To complete the proof of theorem 7, it remains us to compute the differentials $d^r_{p,q}$. This is done in the next few lemmas.

Lemma 4. *The differentials $d^1_{2,23}, d^1_{2,21}$ and $d^1_{2,19}$ are nonzero.*

Proof of lemma 4. The space F_2 is fibered over $X_2 \cong \mathbb{C}P^2$; denote the corresponding fiber by F. The statement of the lemma would follow, if we prove that $\bar{H}_i(F, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(11)$, if $i = 21$ and $\bar{H}_i(F, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ otherwise.

Take a configuration $K \in X_2$. Set $X = \{K' \in X_1 \mid K' \subset K\} \cong \mathbb{C}P^1$, let B be a 3-ball with boundary X, and let ξ be the complex vector bundle over X with total space

$$\{(f, K') \in V \times X \mid \mathrm{Sing}(f) \supset K'\}.$$

The bundle ξ contains a constant subbundle with total space $L(K) \times X$; denote by η the corresponding quotient line bundle, and let Y be the total space of η. Finally, let Z be the result of attaching B to Y via the zero section embedding $X \subset Y$. To prove the above claim about $\bar{H}_*(F, \mathbb{Q})$, it suffices to show that $\bar{H}_i(Z, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $i \neq 4$, which can be done as follows.

It is easy to see that if we identify X with $\mathbb{C}P^1$, then η becomes $\mathcal{P}^{\otimes 2}_{1,1} = \mathcal{O}(-2)$, hence we obtain (recalling that $Z \setminus B$ is the total space of η minus the zero section) that $\bar{H}_1(Z \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}) = \bar{H}_4(Z \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\bar{H}_i(Z \setminus Y, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, 4$. Using the exact sequence of the couple $B \subset Z$, we conclude that $\bar{H}_i(Z, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $i \neq 4$. The lemma is proved.♣

Lemma 5. *The differentials $d^3_{11,0}$ and $d^3_{11,2}$ are nonzero.*

Proof of lemma 5. We proceed as in the proof of the previous lemma. We shall compute the rational Borel-Moore homology groups of $F_{11} \setminus F_7$ using a filtration a bit different from the one induced by $\varnothing \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{11}$.

Namely, consider the filtration

$$X \subset X \cup (F_8 \setminus F_7) \subset X \cup (F_9 \setminus F_8) \subset X \cup (F_{10} \setminus F_9) = F_{11} \setminus F_7, \tag{10}$$

where $X = \Phi_{11} \setminus \Phi_7$ (we identify X with a subspace of $V \times \Lambda$ via the "zero section embedding" $x \mapsto (0, x)$).

It is easy to deduce from spectral sequence (9) that $\bar{H}_8(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(3)$ and $\bar{H}_i(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $i \neq 8$. The third and the fourth columns of the rational Borel-Moore homology spectral sequence corresponding to (10) are zero, since (as we saw above) $\bar{H}_*(\Phi_9 \setminus \Phi_8, \mathbb{Q}) = \bar{H}_*(\Phi_{10} \setminus \Phi_9, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. To obtain the second column, we have to know the groups $\bar{H}_*((F_8 \setminus F_7) \setminus X, \mathbb{Q})$

The space $(F_8 \setminus F_7) \setminus X$ coincides obviously with $F_8 \setminus F_7$ minus the zero section of the bundle $F_8 \setminus F_7 \xrightarrow{p} \Phi_8 \setminus \Phi_7$. Recalling the way we computed above the Borel-Moore homology of $F_8 \setminus F_7$, we see that $\bar{H}_*((F_8 \setminus F_7) \setminus X, \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic (with dimension shifted by 6 and weight by 4) to $\bar{H}(Y, \mathcal{L})$, where Y is the space formed by all couples

$$(f, K) \in B(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2) \times \Pi_{4,2} \setminus \{0\}$$

such that f vanishes with multiplicity at least 2 at each point of K, and the local system $\mathcal{L}$ is the pullback of $\pm\mathbb{Q}$ under the obvious projection $Y \to B(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2)$.

Let Z be the total space of the pullback of the fiber bundle $Y \to B(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2)$ to $F(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2)$. The group S_2 acts on Z in a natural way, and $\bar{H}_*(Y, \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to the S_2-anti-invariant part of $\bar{H}_*(Z, \mathbb{Q})$. Let us compute $\bar{H}_*(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ together with the action of S_2 on it. By the Poincaré duality, it suffices to consider $H^*(Z, \mathbb{Q})$.

It is easy to see that $H^*(F(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2), \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the pullbacks a_1 and a_2 of the canonical generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, \mathbb{Q})$ under the obvious projections $F(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}$. The classes a_1 and a_2 satisfy the relations $a_1^3 = a_2^3 = a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_1 a_2 = 0$, and any other relation in $H^*(F(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2), \mathbb{Q})$ is a consequence of these. The line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}$ with total space

$$\{(f, l) \in \Pi_{2,2} \times \mathbb{C}P^{2\vee} \mid f \text{ vanishes with multiplicity 2 along } l\}$$

is clearly isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-2)$, hence Z can be viewed as the space of nonzero vectors of the restriction of $\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$ to $F(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2)$, where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are the pullbacks of $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ under the projections $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}P^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}$. The first Chern class of $\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2$ is $a_1 + a_2$; therefore, the differential d_2 of the Leray spectral sequence of the bundle $Z \to F(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2)$ is given by the formula $d_2(a \otimes b) = a(-2a_1 - 2a_2) \otimes 1$, where $a \in H^*(F(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, 2), \mathbb{Q})$, and b is the canonical generator of $H^1(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Q})$. An easy check shows now that the S_2-anti-invariant part of $H^*(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ in dimensions 2 and 7 and zero in all other dimensions. This implies $\bar{H}_8(Y, \mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{Q}(4), \bar{H}_3(Y, \mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{Q}(1)$, and $\bar{H}_i(Y, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for $i \neq 3, 8$. Hence, the space $(F_8 \setminus F_7) \setminus X$ has nonzero Borel-Moore homology groups only in dimensions 9 and 14, and these groups are equal respectively to $\mathbb{Q}(3)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(6)$.

Now we can write the first term of the spectral sequence corresponding to (10) that

converges to $\bar{H}_*(F_{11} \setminus F_7, \mathbb{Q})$.

12		$\mathbb{Q}(6)$
11		
10		
9		
8		
7	$\mathbb{Q}(3)$	$\mathbb{Q}(3)$
	1	2

Comparing this with the last four columns of table 7, we see that $\bar{H}_{14}(F_8 \setminus F_7, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(6)$, all other groups $\bar{H}_*(F_8 \setminus F_7, \mathbb{Q})$ are zero, and the differentials $d^3_{11,0}$ and $d^3_{11,2}$ of the spectral sequence of theorem 7 are nonzero. The lemma is proved.♣

Lemmas 4 and 5 will suffice (together with table 7) to determine the cohomology of the quotient space $(V \setminus \Sigma)/\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. However, to complete the proof of theorem 7, we must compute the remaining differentials $d^r_{p,q}$. In the case of $d^1_{6,12}$ and $d^1_{6,14}$, the argument is analogous to the one used above to prove lemmas 4 and 5. Surprisingly, the computation of $d^3_{6,10}$ is a lot more difficult.

Lemma 6. *The differentials $d^1_{6,12}$ and $d^1_{6,14}$ are nonzero.*

Proof. Set $X = F_6 \setminus F_4$, and define Y as the space formed by all couples (f, x) such that $x \in \tilde{\Lambda}(K) \setminus \Phi_4$ for some $K \in X_6$, and $f \in L(K')$, where K' is the unique configuration from X_6 that contains K. The space Y is a vector bundle over X_6 with fiber $\tilde{\Lambda}(K) \setminus (\tilde{\Lambda}(K_1) \cup \tilde{\Lambda}(K_2))$, where $K \in X_6$ and $K_1 \neq K_2$ are the configurations from X_4 that are contained in K. Since $\tilde{\Lambda}(K_1), \tilde{\Lambda}(K_2)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}(K_1) \cap \tilde{\Lambda}(K_2)$ are contractible spaces, we have $\bar{H}_*(Y) = 0$.

Denote by ξ and η the fiber bundles over X_5 with total spaces

$$\{(K, f) \in X_5 \times \Pi_{4,n} \mid f \in L(K)\}$$

and

$$\{(K, f) \in X_5 \times \Pi_{4,n} \mid f \in L(\text{the unique configuration from } X_6 \text{ containing } K)\}$$

respectively. The complement $X \setminus Y$ is a fiber bundle over X_5 with fiber over $K \in X_5$ homeomorphic to the product $\Lambda(K) \setminus \partial\Lambda(K) \times (\xi|_K \setminus \eta|_K)$, where $\xi|_K$ and $\eta|_K$ are the fibers respectively of ξ and η over K.

Set Z to be the space formed by all elements $((x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2)) \in \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}$ such that $l_1 \neq l_2, x_i \in l_i, i = 1, 2$ and $\{x_1\} \neq l_1 \cap l_2 \neq \{x_2\}$. (Notice that Z is homotopy equivalent to $F(\mathbb{C}P^2, 2)$.) The quotient of the obvious action of the symmetric group S_2 on Z can be identified with X_5; let ξ' and η' be the pullbacks respectively of ξ and η to Z. Set $a_i \in H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q}), i = 1, 2$ to be the pullback of the canonical generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{Q})$ under the projection r_i given by

$$r_i : ((x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2)) \mapsto x_i.$$

Notice that

$$H^*(Z, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[a_1, a_2]/\langle a_1^3, a_2^3, a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_1 a_2 \rangle.$$

By an argument analogous to the one used in the proof of lemma 5, the differentials $d^1_{6,12}$ and $d^1_{6,14}$ are nontrivial if and only if $\bar{H}_i(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $16 < i < 21$, which in turn is equivalent to $c_1(\xi'/\eta') = ka_1 + ka_2$ with $k \neq 0$. Lemma 6 would follow thus if we prove

Proposition 7. *We have* $c_1(\xi'/\eta') = 2a_1 + 2a_2$.

Proof of proposition 7. Let us compute the total Chern class of ξ'. Let ξ_0 be the vector bundle over $\mathbf{M}$ with total space

$$\{(f, K) \in \Pi_{4,2} \times \mathbf{M} \mid f \in L(K)\},$$

and set $p_i, i = 1, 2$ to be the (restriction to Z of the) projection

$$\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M} \ni (K_1, K_2) \mapsto K_i.$$

We have an exact sequence of vector bundles over Z:

$$0 \to \xi' \to (p_1^*(\xi_0) \oplus p_2^*(\xi_0))|_Z \to \text{the trivial rank } 15 = \dim \Pi_{4,2} \text{ bundle} \to 0 \qquad (11)$$

Hence, to compute $c(\xi')$ it is enough to know $c(\xi_0)$ and the cohomology mappings induced by the compositions of the inclusion $\imath : Z \subset \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M}$ with $p_i, i = 1, 2$.

Proposition 8. *We have* $(\imath \circ p_i)_*(a_p) = a_i (i = 1, 2), (\imath \circ p_1)_*(a_l) = -a_2, (\imath \circ p_2)_*(a_l) = -a_1$.

Proof of proposition 8. The identity $(\imath \circ p_i)_*(a_p) = a_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ is clear. To prove the remaining two formulas, let us first consider the projections $p_x, p_y : F(\mathbb{C}P^2, 2) \to \mathbb{C}P^2$ given by $p_x(x, y) = x$ and $p_y(x, y) = y$. Let $a \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{Q})$ and $a^\vee \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}, \mathbb{Q})$ be the canonical generators, and denote by $p_l : F(\mathbb{C}P^2, 2) \to \mathbb{C}P^{2\vee}$ is the mapping taking (x, y) to the line spanned by x and y. As we saw in the proof of lemma 3, we have

$$p_l^*(a^\vee) = p_x^*(a) + p_y^*(a). \qquad (12)$$

The space Z can be identified with the space $\tilde{F}(\mathbb{C}P^2, 3)$ of ordered triples of non-aligned points in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ via

$$((x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2)) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, l_1 \cap l_2);$$

let a_3 be the pullback of a under $((x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2)) \mapsto l_1 \cap l_2$. It follows from (12) that $(\imath \circ p_i)_*(a_l) = a_1 + a_3, i = 1, 2$.

The group S_3 acts on $H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ so that $\sigma(a_i) = a_{\sigma(i)}, \sigma \in S_3$. Since $H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ has dimension 2 and is spanned by a_1, a_2, we have $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$, which completes the proof of the proposition.♣

It follows immediately from propositions 8, lemma 3 and the exact sequence (11) that

$$c(\xi') = \frac{(1 + 2a_1 - a_2)(1 + 2a_2 - a_1)}{(1 + 3a_1)^3(1 + a_1 - a_2)^3(1 + 3a_2)^3(1 + a_2 - a_1)^3}. \qquad (13)$$

Let us now compute the Chern class of η'. An element of the fiber of η' over $((x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2))$ has the form $f_1 \cdot f_2^2$ where f_1, respectively, f_2 defines a conic, respectively, a line through x_1 and x_2. In fact, it is easy to see that the bundle η' can be represented as $\eta' = \eta'' \otimes \lambda^{\otimes 2}$ where η'' and λ are the vector bundles with total spaces

$$\{(f, ((x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2))) \in \Pi_{2,2} \times Z \mid f(x) = f(y) = 0\}$$

and

$$\{(f, ((x_1, l_1), (x_2, l_2))) \in \Pi_{1,2} \times Z \mid f(x) = f(y) = 0\}$$

respectively. The exact sequence

$$0 \to \eta' \subset r_1^*(\mathcal{P}_{2,2}) \otimes r_2^*(\mathcal{P}_{2,2}) \to \text{the trivial rank } 6{=}\dim \Pi_{2,1} \text{ bundle} \to 0$$

implies

$$c(\eta'') = \frac{1}{(1+2a_1)(1+2a_2)} = 1 - 2a_1 - 2a_2.$$

Analogously, we have

$$c(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(1+a_1)(1+a_2)} = 1 - a_1 - a_2.$$

(The second equalities in both formulas are due to $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_2 a_2 = 0$; in fact, this relation, which is easily checked directly, can also be deduced from $c(\lambda) = (1+a_1)^{-1}(1+a_2)^{-1}$ and the fact that λ is a line bundle.)

Since the rank of η'' is 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\eta') &= c_1(\eta'') + 4c_1(\lambda) = -10a_1 - 10a_2, \\ c_2(\eta') &= c_2(\eta'') + 3c_1(\eta'')c_1(\lambda) + 6c_1^2(\lambda) = 36a_1a_2, \\ c_3(\eta') &= c_3(\eta'') + 2c_2(\eta'')c_1(\lambda) + 3c_1(\eta'')c_1^2(\lambda) + 3c_1^3(\lambda) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

(and $c_4(\eta')$ is obviously 0). From (13) and (14) we obtain $c_1(\xi'/\eta') = 2a_1 + 2a_2$. Proposition 7 is proved (and hence, so is lemma 6).♣

Lemma 7. *The differential $d_{6,10}^3$ is nonzero.*

Proof.

4.2 The moduli space

5 Nodal cubics in $\mathbb{C}P^3$

References

[1] D. Allcock, J. Carlson, D. Toledo, "The complex hyperbolic geometry of the moduli space of cubic surfaces", J. Algebraic Geom. 11, 2002, no. 4, 659–724, `arXiv:math.AG/0007048`.

[2] A. G. Gorinov, "Real cohomology groups of the space of nonsingular curves of degree 5 in $\mathbb{C}P^2$", Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math., 14, no. 3, 2005, 395-434, `arXiv:math.AT/0105108`.

[3] O. Tommasi, "Rational cohomology of the moduli space of genus 4 curves", Compos. Math. 141, 2005, no. 2, 359–384, `arXiv:math.AG/0312055`.

[4] V. A. Vassiliev, " Topology of spaces of functions without complex singularities" (in Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 23, 1989, no. 4, 24–36, 96; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 23, 1989, no. 4, 277–286.

[5] V. A. Vassiliev, "Geometric realization of the homology of classical Lie groups, and complexes that are S-dual to flag manifolds" (in Russian). Algebra i Analiz 3, 1991, no. 4, 113–120, translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 3, 1992, no. 4, 809–815.

[6] V. A. Vassiliev, "Topology of discriminant complements", Phasis, Moscow, 1997 (in Russian).

[7] V. A. Vassiliev, "How to calculate homology groups of spaces of nonsingular algebraic projective hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$", Proc. Steklov Math. Inst., 1999, 225, 121–140.

Alexei Gorinov
Department of Mathematics
National Research University "Higher School of Economics"
Moscow
Russia
agorinov@hse.ru
gorinov@mccme.ru